Previous references.

File No. 57 of 03

1903.

Serial Nos. 1–2

(Genl Finance)

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 — 2

28/2

Part B. ~~for~~

SUBJECT.

Expenditure of Rs 49-4-6 in Connection with the formation of new villages in lieu of those submerged by the Hesaraghatta Tank, passed without the vouchers, lost.

1 No 236 dy 3.9.03 From the D.C. Bangalore States that the vouchers in Connection with the above were lost and requests that the expenditure may be passed.

2 No H 1020-1 dy 20-10-03 To the Comptr & D.C. Bangalore Sanctions the expenditure and forwards the bill to the Comptr for audit, with the observation that the loss of the vouchers is a grave irregularity—

G.R.S. 58

Office of the Dewan of Mysore.

File No. (if any)

Directions.

No., date and purport of receipts to be entered in red, and office note in black ink in order of dates.—To be written only on one side, and inside the line of margin.

Office Note.

*Branch Rev.

Subject

Unadjusted balance of Rs 49-4-6 taken as advance by Sri L. Ramakrishnaya, Sgt Rev. in connection with Hesaraghatta water works.
Rev. 1360 of 3.9.03 from the Bangalore

Out of the advance of Rs 50/- taken by Sri L. Ramakrishnaya, Sgt Revenue in connection with the formation of new villages for those submerged by the Hesaraghatta tank, a sum of Rs 49-4-6 is still shown as outstanding in the accounts against the Bangalore Dt. The Amildar of the Yeshwanthapur Taluk submitted a list of expenditure for Rs 49-4-6 and stated that Vouchers in support of the expenditure had already been sent to the Revenue Sgt Revenue on the date

	Rs	as	p
17.4.96:	37	4	6
6.6.96:	2	—	—
18.9.96:	10	—	—
	49	4	6

noted in the margin. It appears that the further disposal of these Vouchers could not be traced and the Dewan therefore requests that the bill now submitted may be sanctioned and the advance adjusted.

A draft is however submitted for approval or other orders.

Submitted for orders

16/9/03

Draft with the files

K 20/9/03

The loss of vouchers is a grave irregularity ~~of~~ has not been adequately explained. As it refers to 1895, perhaps there is no use pursuing the matter further. May this pass with an observation?

25/9/3

To the Dewan.

13.1.93

26.1.03

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
1903/7 2421
7 AUG 1903
GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

From The Revenue Survey
Bangalore District

To The Secretariat
Mysore
Rev and Genl Depts

Dated 3 Sep 1903
Despatched
Received 5 Sep 1903

General No.
S No. 236

Enclosure No One & Vouchers

Requesting that the expenditure of Rs 149-4-6 (as per list) out of Rs 50 taken as advance in Nov 1895 by Mr N. Narasimha Iyengar, then asst com, for the formation of new villages for those submerged by the Hessaraghatta tank, may be accepted and sanctioned as it remains unadjusted since 1895.

Bangalore District

Sl No 236

Rec'd

Dy Commissioner's Office

Bangalore 3rd September

1903

From,

H. H. Sharkey Esq

Dy Commissioner

Bangalore District

To,

H. V. Ranganayya Esq Mysore

Secy to the Govt of Mysore

Rev and Genl Depts

Sir,

I have the honor to report that out of Rs 50/ taken as an advance in November 1895 by the then Assistant Commissioner M. A. Narasimha Iyengar of this District, in connection with the formation of new villages for those submerged by the Hessaraghatta tank, a sum of Rs 49-4-6 is still shown in the accounts

accounts as outstanding against this District.
The detailed list of expenditure for the above
Sums submitted by the Assistant Commissioner
as having been received from the Amildar
of Dodballapur is herein enclosed for reference
along with the Vernacular Correspondence
ending with the Bangalore Range Assistant
Commissioner's Yadi No. 19 dated 16th June
1903. From the enclosed Correspondence,
it will be seen that the vouchers for the
above Sums are reported by the Assistant
Commissioner as not traceable in his Office
for want of registers and other Correspondence
on the Subject Subsequent to the date on
which the above advance was taken, there
have

are been so many changes of officers that it is not now possible to ascertain by whom the Vouchers were taken and where they have been mislaid. As Mr. Narasimha Iyengar by whom the advance was actually taken, has now been retired, I request that the expenditure of Rs 49-4-6 ; as detailed in the list herewith Submitted, may be accepted and sanctioned as this amount remains unadjusted since the year 1895.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

H H Sparkes

Dy Commissioner

Read:

Letter No 2360/8-A
dated 1903 from
the Dy Comm Bangalore
dt [illegible]

Order thereon

The Dy Comm reports that out of the
indents placed for 500 drawn
in November 1895 by the
Collector against Lingsugur
Taluk in connection with the
formation of new
Villages for those
subsequently by the
Hemmanghatta Taluk,
a sum of Rs 49. 4-6
is still shown in the
accounts as outstanding
and submits for sanction the
Statement of
Expenditure incurred
by the [illegible] Deputy
Kullapur and dated
in January 99. The
Vouchers in support

this expenditure are stated not to be traceable at this distance of time.

The account of expenditure will, under the circumstances, be ~~accepted and~~ sanctioned and forwarded to the Comptroller for audit and adjustment.

The loss of the vouchers is a grave irregularity but as the Officers ~~in~~ responsible have since left the service for Consider it important to note ~~the discrepancies~~ the defect as one that should be carefully guarded against

20/9/03

14/10/03

13.X.03

To The Compliance, Bangalore with the Accountant Bangalore Cl. with the Vouchers.

15/10/03

Compd